PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Edward S. Ellis, et al.		§ Examiner: J. Johnson
SERIAL No.:	09/457,434	§ GROUP ART UNIT: 1764
DATE FILED:	DECEMBER 7, 1999	§ ATTY. DOCKET No.: HEN-9910
TITLE:	PRODUCTION OF LOW SULFUR/LOW AROMATICS DISTILLATES	\$ \$ \$

HONORABLE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

## SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

This is a supplemental response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (Paper No. 17) dated February 19, 2003.

## REMARKS

The Examiner states that applicants' amendment submitted on February 11, 2003, is considered non-compliant because it did not contain replacement pages 8 and 9 of the specification. Applicants resubmitted the replacement pages on March 6, 2003.

However, Applicants' attorney just received a notice from the Legal Instruments

Examiner of the U.S. Patent Office in another application stating, "we do not enter